## Compal Electronics, Inc.

## Performance Evaluation of the Board of Directors and Functional Committees

The company has enacted the "Rules for Performance Evaluation of the Board of Directors and Functional Committees", and conducts regular performance evaluations every year. The performance evaluation scope covering of the individual directors, Board of Directors, Audit Committee, Remuneration Committee and Sustainability Committee shall be completed before the end of the first quarter of the following year. The unit responsible for evaluation will then collect all information, give scores, record the evaluation results, submit the Remuneration Committee analytical review and report to the Board of Directors for discussion and improvement. The results of performance evaluation to the Board of Directors shall be used as reference in determining compensation for individual Directors, their nomination and additional office term.

In order to implement corporate governance and enhance the functions of the company's board of directors. The Board of Directors amended to the Rules for Performance Evaluation of the Board of Directors and Functional Committees" on November 10, 2023. The Company shall appoint an external independent professional institution or a panel of external experts and scholars to conduct a performance evaluation at least once every three years. The external board performance evaluations shall be completed before the end of the first quarter of the following year, the evaluation results shall be reported to the Board of Directors.

■Internal performance evaluation of the Board of Directors and Functional Committees
The performance evaluation period of year 2023 is from June 1, 2022 to May 31, 2023, have report
the Board the implementation result on August 11, 2023. The self—evaluation result will be divided
into 1~5 scores, Number 1: Bad (Totally disagree); Number 2: Poor (Disagree); Number 3: Fair
(Average); Number 4: Good (Agree); Number 5: Excellent (Totally agree)

The performance evaluation of the individual board members is as follows:

Five aspects evaluation	Avg. score	<b>Evaluation level</b>
.Understanding of company goals and missions 4.62 Good		Good
2.Director's understanding of their duties and	4.82	Good
responsibilities		
3. Participation in the company's operation	4.60	Good
4.Internal relation maintenance and communications	4.62	Good
5.The Director's professional and continued	4.33	Good
knowledge development		
6.Internal control	4.69	Good
Total average	4.61	Good

The performance evaluation of the Board of Directors is as follows:

_1 he performance evaluation of the board of Directors is as follows.		
Five aspects evaluation	Avg. score	<b>Evaluation level</b>
1.Participation in the company's operation	4.83	Good
2.Improvement on the quality of the Board's decision making	5.00	Excellent
3.Makeup and structure of the Board of Directors	4.57	Good
4.Election of Directors and continued knowledge development	4.43	Good
5.Internal control	5.00	Excellent
Total average	4.80	Good

'The performance evaluation of the Audit Committee is as follows:

0   0   0		
Five aspects evaluation	Avg. score	Evaluation level
1.Participation in the company's operation	5.00 Excellent	
2. Awareness of the duties of the Audit Committee	ittee 5.00 Excellent	
.Improvement of quality of decisions made by the 5.00 Excellent		Excellent
Audit Committee		
4.Makeup of the Audit Committee and election of its members	ection of its 5.00 Excellent	
5.Internal control	5.00	Excellent
Total average	5.00	Excellent

The performance evaluation of the Remuneration Committee is as follows:

Four aspects evaluation	Avg. score	<b>Evaluation level</b>	
1.Participation in the company's operation	5.00	Excellent	
2. Awareness of the duties of the Remuneration	5.00	Excellent	
Committee			
3.Improvement of quality of decisions made by the	5.00	Excellent	
Remuneration Committee			
4. Makeup of the Remuneration Committee and	5.00	Excellent	
election of its members	3.00		
Total average	5.00	Excellent	

The performance evaluation of the Sustainability Committee is as follows:

Four aspects evaluation	Avg. score	Evaluation level
1.Participation in the company's operation	4.33	優
2. Awareness of the duties of the Sustainability	5.00	極優
Committee		
3.Improvement of quality of decisions made by the	4.50	優
Sustainability Committee		
4. Makeup of the Sustainability Committee and	5.00	極優
election of its members		
Total average	4.67	優

## **■**External performance evaluation of the Board of Directors

EY executed a performance evaluation of the board of directors between January 1 and December 31, 2023. EY's methodology incorporated the application of questionnaires, conducting interviews, and performing document reviews and analyses. The evaluation was systematically carried out during the months of November to December 2023, covering three major aspects: the structure of the board of directors, members, and procedures and information.

According to the evaluation, Compal possesses comprehensive company governance regulations and has established a culture of information transparency within the board of directors, enabling members to utilize their unique skills. Moreover, Compal has set up non-statutory functional committees, such as the sustainability committee and risk management committee, to meet the operational needs of the company.

Compal's performances in the structure, members, and information processes of the board of directors are deemed advanced. Suggestions are presented below to continuously optimize and refine the operation of the board of directors.

Major Aspects	Suggestion	
	Starting from 2024, the number of independent director seats should not	
Structure of the Board	be less than one-third of the total seats, and more than half of	
of Directors	independent directors should not serve more than three consecutive	
	terms. It is suggested to augment the number of independent director	
	seats and to commence earlier planning for director candidates.	
	Starting from 2024, there should be at least one director of each gender,	
Members	thus it is suggested to increase the number of seats held by female	
	directors.	
Procedures and	It is suggested to offer a sufficient and diverse range of professional	
Information	development courses for directors.	